COURSE OVERVIEW
For most of us, our days are filled with negotiation and conflict. They range from low stakes disputes about meeting schedules to high stakes clashes about strategic direction, and from casual debates to formal boardroom contractual agreements. Effective negotiators get the most out of disputes, not just in terms of the instrumental value they carry away, but also in terms of the relationships and reputations they leave behind. Virtually everyone could stand to improve their negotiation skills and, fortunately, virtually everyone can develop in this area.

This course aims to help students improve their skills in two fundamental ways. One is knowledge-oriented: students learn frameworks and concepts for analyzing conflict. Students acquire terms and models for identifying the type of conflict that exists in a situation and the potential costs and benefits of different strategies and tactics. Based on this, students should be able to interpret situations, plan tactics, and recognize and react to their counterpart’s behavior. A second and complementary route to improving as a negotiator is practice-oriented: students complement their analytical tools with behavioral skills. Negotiation and conflict ultimately come down to behaviors—how a manager opens a potentially volatile conversation, how a mediator uncovers information, how a negotiator frames an offer or a concession. Practicing these behaviors, and understanding how other parties perceive and react to them, is essential to improving as a negotiator. The course provides continuing opportunities for hands-on practice and also provides feedback, discussion, and occasions for reflection.

Through role-play exercises, lecture, reading, and discussion, the course begins with basic dynamics in negotiation and adds complexity in stages, including multiple issues, multiple parties, mediation, agents, and coalitions. Some exercises involve numerical analyses, others revolve around qualitative conflicts. By the end of the course, students should be able to comfortably and confidently approach most any conflict or negotiation: analyzing its nature, understanding their own objectives, and plotting an approach that will give them the best shot at achieving their goals.

CONNECTION TO THE CORE
This course is related to a wide range of topics that are important for developing professionals. In Columbia Business School’s core course sequence, it is perhaps most directly linked to the core leadership course, Lead: People, Teams, Organizations, where students will have received an overview of the topic and enacted a role-play negotiation. This course dives deeper, focusing in steps on different negotiation dynamics and ultimately addressing even more complex issues. Managerial Negotiations also deals with behavior in competitive contexts and markets, touching on topics dealt with in the Strategy Formulation and Managerial Economics core courses, and with
behavior related to persuasive communication and selling, touching on topics dealt with in the *Managing Marketing Programs* core course.

**COURSE FORMAT**
This is a highly interactive course. It is premised on a basic assumption that understanding and appreciation of negotiations are best achieved via hands-on experiences in combination with lecture, discussion, reading, and reflection on the underlying concepts of negotiation. There will be one or more role-play exercises in nearly every class period. These exercises have been selected to help illustrate points in readings and lectures and to motivate further reflection and reading. These exercises will put you in new, and potentially uncomfortable, situations, but within the relatively safe environment of the classroom. In these exercises, you are urged to try out new and creative behaviors and tactics that have suggested themselves to you from your own reading and reflection.

**ATTENDANCE**
Because this course is so experiential, attendance at class sessions is essential to getting learning value from the course. Further, because most class sessions involve pairs or groups of students working together, absences have the potential to undermine classmates’ experience. Thus, attendance is required for all class sessions. Absences will carry a grade penalty.

If you cannot attend a class session you are required to contact the course instructor or TA well in advance of that session. Any absences not reported in advance of the session are subject to a severe grade penalty.

**READINGS**
Readings will be drawn from several books and should be read as noted in the syllabus:

  [NOTE: this is not the same as Lewicki, Saunders, and Barry’s volume, *Negotiation*]

A handful of additional readings will be provided on the course website.
ASSIGNMENTS AND COURSE REQUIREMENTS
Five components make up your grade: 1) required participation, 2) required assignments, 3) menu assignments, 4) a real world negotiation analysis, and 5) a final paper.

The second-to-last page of this syllabus provides a summary table of assignments.

» Required participation: 30% of grade

Participation includes several components:

• Attendance at all class sessions (see notes above on Attendance)
• Active, substantive involvement in simulations (see Role Play Ground Rules below)
• Engagement, discussion, and comments in class (quality is more important than quantity)

» Required assignments: 30% of grade

Throughout the course you will be required to complete a number of assignments to enhance your knowledge of course materials, reflect on exercises, and practice your skills. Details and expectations for these assignments will be described in greater detail as the course progresses. Exercises include:

• Online assessments. Students will complete several online surveys that will provide feedback for themselves and their classmates.
• Pre-negotiation preparation documents. For several class sessions, students will be asked to upload a document in advance of the session. These may include an overall strategy outline, talking points, and/or a quantitative model that outlines your valuation of deal elements.
• Email negotiation. Students will engage in an email negotiation with a peer outside of class. A reflection and feedback component will follow this negotiation.
• Peer analysis: Students will review a recording of a peer negotiating in class and provide feedback.
• Reading reflection: Students will offer their reactions to one of the course readings.
• Negotiation in the news: Students will provide their thoughts and a brief analysis of a current real world negotiation.
• Conflict cycle analysis: Students will provide an analysis of a current, past, or ongoing conflict in their lives.
» Real world negotiation analysis: 25% of grade

This analysis can be either an individual or two-person project reviewing some kind of real world negotiation or conflict. The topic could be some kind of explicit transaction or deal, but could also be a qualitative dispute or conflict. One approach would be a “real time” analysis of an event that happens during the course itself, such as negotiating with an employer, bargaining with a contractor, or a personal dispute. The paper could cover planning for the conflict in advance (including assumptions or expectations), a description of the conflict itself as it unfolded, and a reflection and analysis of the conflict (e.g., Were any assumptions wrong? What were the economic and relational consequences of the conflict? How might the conflict have gone differently?). Another approach would be a thoughtful analysis of a past conflict or deal, including discussion of the background and context, the dynamics of the conflict or deal itself, and the consequences of the exchange. An effective analysis would also likely feature some observations about what went well/poorly and how things might have gone differently.

Creative approaches are encouraged. The overarching objective is to think carefully about a real world conflict or negotiation and apply some of the concepts and tools featured in the course. While the details of any given case are important to note and consider, another goal is to coax some larger and more enduring lessons (e.g., good practices) that may be applied elsewhere.

A paragraph or two describing your planned real world negotiation analysis is due in Session 8. Individual papers typically range from 5 to 7 double-spaced pages. Papers should be no more than 7 pages with one exception: The expectations in terms of depth and coverage are expanded with two people on the project (although a two-person paper is not necessarily expected to be twice the length of an individual paper). The choice to work independently or in pairs is up to students. The paper is due in Session 10. We may discuss some of these analyses (if students volunteer to do so) in our final class session.

» Final paper: 15% (optional)

The form this paper takes is flexible, but several models have proven to be meaningful and substantive for students. One is a self-assessment paper in which you analyze yourself, including your style and its strengths and weaknesses, based on your experience in the course, the feedback you received, as well as other experiences. This analysis could culminate in an action plan for developing as a negotiator. Another effective model is to construct a coherent personal “theory of negotiation” that reflects what you believe are the fundamental dynamics and best practices of negotiation as they relate to you. This should not be a simple recapitulation of recommendations offered in the class or readings but rather some personalized version of your own negotiation manual that reflects a meaningful amount of reflection, synthesis, and application. Final papers are typically 5-7 double-spaced pages long. Papers should be no more than 7 pages and are due in Session 12.

Note that this paper is NOT mandatory. If you would like an “H” in the class, doing the assignment is necessary (but not sufficient to guarantee an “H”).
ROLE PLAY GROUND RULES
Having a constructive learning environment is essential to this course’s effectiveness. Everyone plays a part in this. Here are some ground rules for our role-play exercises:

1. You are expected to be on time for class meetings and, when applicable, to arrive prepared for in-class negotiation exercises.

2. You should not show your confidential role instructions to other parties during a negotiation, nor should you directly read them aloud. At your discretion, you can choose to speak about your interests to the other side. Once the negotiation is complete, you should still keep your instructions private, unless instructed otherwise. We will debrief most of our cases collectively in class.

3. Feel free to “ad lib” in these exercises to provide rationales and explanations for your character’s preferences—say things you think the character would say. That said, you should adopt the given payoff tables as reflecting your preferences. You should not make up facts that materially change the power distribution of the exercise.

4. The exercises are an opportunity to experiment. Unusual tactics (or at least ones that are different for you) add variety and can benefit the group discussion. However, steer clear of anything that verges on physical intimidation, sexual harassment, or personal abuse.

EXERCISES, RECORDS, AND RESEARCH
Because I want to encourage experimentation, I do not grade based on your negotiation outcomes. Yet I do carefully record the outcomes and, for some exercises, your answers to questions in the exercise materials. Sometimes I will show the overall patterns in this data to demonstrate points about negotiation principles. The data are also used in a continual process of refining exercises and developing new ones. Just as prior students have contributed to your learning experience by contributing this data, you will be contributing to future classes by answering questions about your outcome and tactics in the course of the exercises. Identifying information is removed from the datasets after the term ends, so the records become anonymous at this point. At times in the past, faculty have drawn on these “anonymized” datasets reflecting years of classes for statistical analyses related to research hypotheses concerning negotiation dynamics. If you prefer that any records from your participation in class be deleted from datasets if they are used for any research purpose, please tell the instructor or teaching assistant and your record will be deleted after the class is complete.
# PART 1: TWO-PERSON NEGOTIATIONS

## SESSION 1: Introduction

**Readings**
*Essentials of Negotiation* Ch 1 “The nature of negotiation”
*Getting to Yes* Ch 6

**Assignments given**
- Real-world negotiation paper (due Session 10)
- Final paper (due Session 12)
- Negotiation in the news (due in or before Session 11)
- Online assessment 2 (due BEFORE Session 2)

**Assignments due**
- Online assessment 1 (takes ~ 10 minutes, complete BEFORE Session 1)

## SESSION 2: Distributive bargaining

**Readings**
*Essentials of Negotiation* Ch 2 “Strategy/tactics of distributive bargaining”; *Getting to Yes* Ch 8

**Assignments given**
- Email negotiation (negotiation completed by Session 4)
- Peer analysis

**Assignments due**
- Online assessment 2 (due BEFORE Session 2)

## SESSION 3: Integrative bargaining, Part 1

**Readings**
*Essentials of Negotiation* Ch 3 “Strategy/tactics of integrative negotiation”; *Getting to Yes* Ch 1-4; “Know your objectives” (Benoliel & Cashdan); “Scoring a deal” (Ames, Larrick, & Morris)

**Assignments given**
- Prepare for Session 4 case (materials distributed prior to class)
- Peer analysis

## SESSION 4: Integrative bargaining, Part 2

**Readings**
*Essentials of Negotiation* Ch 4 “Negotiation: Strategy and planning”
“Constructive conflict” (Follett)

**Assignments given**
- Email negotiation analysis (due in Session 5)
- Reading reflection (due in or before Session 11)

**Assignments due**
- Case preparation – Complete BEFORE Session 4
- Email negotiation – Complete negotiation BEFORE Session 4
PART 2: CONFLICT AND COMMUNICATION DYNAMICS

SESSION 5: Conflict styles and dynamics

Readings
(reading by next session)
Difficult Conversations Ch 1-4
“Your bargaining style” (Shell Ch 1)

Assignments given
Conflict cycle analysis (due in Session 7)

Assignments due
Email negotiation analysis

SESSION 6: Culture and gender

Readings
(reading by next session)
Essentials of Negotiation Ch 11 “International/cross-cultural negotiation”
“Why you need to ask” (Babcock & Laschever)

SESSION 7: Trust and cooperation

Readings
(reading by next session)
Difficult Conversations Ch 5-6
“How to choose effectively” and “How to promote cooperation” (from Axelrod’s The Evolution of Cooperation)

Assignments due
Conflict cycle analysis

PART 3: BEYOND DYADS

SESSION 8: Agents and third parties

Readings
(reading by next session)
Essentials of Negotiation Ch 8 “Ethics in negotiation”
“Bargaining with the devil ...” (Shell Ch 11); “Confronting lies and deception” (Malhotra & Bazerman, Ch 9)

Assignments due
Draft plan for real world negotiation paper

SESSION 9: Coalitions

Readings
(reading by next session)
“Enhancing your negotiating power” (Benoliel & Cashdan); “Multiple parties, coalitions, and teams” (Thompson Ch 9); “Get all the parties right” (Lax & Sebenius); “Map the players, change the game” (Ames)
SESSION 10: Coalitions

**Readings**
“(read by next session)”
“Enhancing your negotiating power” (Benoliel & Cashdan); “Multiple parties, coalitions, and teams” (Thompson Ch 9); “Get all the parties right” (Lax & Sebenius); “Map the players, change the game” (Ames)

**Assignments given** Prepare for Session 11 case

**Assignments due** Real-world negotiation paper

SESSION 11: Team negotiation

**Readings**
“(read by next session)”
*Essentials of Negotiation* Ch 12 “Best practices in negotiations”
*Getting to Yes* “In conclusion”; “A primer on personal development” (Ames, Mason, & Carney)

**Assignments due** Case preparation – Complete *before* Session 11
Reading reflection
Negotiation in the news

SESSION 12: Conclusion

**Assignments due** Final paper
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